1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA
7	AZAT AMIRKHANOV,
8	Plaintiff, CASE NO. 2:15-CV-01541-DWC
9	v. ORDER
10 11	CAROLYN COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,
12	Defendant.
13	
14	Plaintiff filed this Social Security action on September 28, 2015. Dkt. 1. Summonses
15	were electronically issued on September 30, 2015. Dkt. 4. On December 14, 2015, Defendant
16	filed the Administrative Record-Answer. Dkt. 8. Plaintiff, however, failed to provide the Court
17	with proof of service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure $4(l)(1)$.
18	On December 14, 2015, the Court ordered Plaintiff to comply with Rule $4(l)(1)$ and
19	provide proof of service of the Complaint to the Court on or before December 28, 2015. Plaintiff
20	failed to comply with the December 14 th Order and on January 4, 2016, the Court ordered
21	Plaintiff to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed for failing to comply with the
22	December 14 th Order.
23	
24	

1	On January 7, 2016, Plaintiff's attorney submitted a response to the Court's Show Cause
2	Order stating he resent "summonses to Defendant by registered, certified, receipt requested U.S.
3	Postal Service and awaited for proof of completed delivery to be received." Dkt. 13, pp. 1-2.
4	Proof of service was not received until after the Court's December 28 th deadline, and Plaintiff's
5	attorney has now attached the proof of service to his response. See Dkt. 13, 13-2.
6	Upon review of Plaintiff's response and the record, the Court concludes Plaintiff has
7	shown cause for failing to respond to the Court's December 14 th Order.
8	The Court notes proof of service under Rule $4(l)(1)$ must be made by the server's
9	affidavit. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l)(1). Under General Order 04-15, a plaintiff who participates in
10	the Pilot Program for Electronic Service in Social Security Cases must "[f]ile a Certificate of
11	Service through the Court's Electronic Court Filing ("ECF") system indicating when the
12	summons and complaint and identifying information was sent to the designated email
13	address." Therefore, rather than resend the summonses, Plaintiff only needed to file an affidavit
14	from the server or a certificate of service showing service had been completed to comply with
15	the Rule $4(l)(1)$.
16	Dated this 13th day of January, 2016.
17	Ma Minto
18	David W. Christel
19	United States Magistrate Judge
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	